

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/776,484	02/02/2001	Alan J. Ying	4722-001	1879	
20792 75	10/18/2005		EXAMINER		
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			NAJARIAN, LENA		
PO BOX 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
RALEIGH, NO	27627			3626	

DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/776,484	YING ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Lena Najarian	3626

	Lena Najarian	3626	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or a state of the checked.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	0021100
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	adding or simplifying	110 133403 101
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-17,19-21,23 and 25-34</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	Is to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/QTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
	Joyh The	<u>. </u>	
	JOSÉPH THOM	AS	
	CHREDVICORY DATENT	EVALUED	

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. The deleted limitations in claims 1, 17, 23, 25, 31, & 32 and the return of claim 2 changes the scope of the claims and requires further search and consideration.